

The Institution of  
**StructuralEngineers**

# Guide to the advanced fire safety engineering of structures



# Guide to the advanced fire safety engineering of structures



---

## CONSTITUTION OF TASK GROUP

---

**M Green** BE CEng MIStructE MICE (Buro Happold) *Chairman*  
**J Brighton\*** CEng FIStructE (WSP Group)  
**P Chana\*\*** BSc(Eng) PhD CEng FIStructE MICE (British Cement Association)  
**R Jones\*\*\*** CEng MIStructE MIGasE FBEng (London Borough of Southwark)  
**B Kirby** BSc PhD FIFireE CEng (Corus Fire Engineering)  
**B Lane** BA BAI PhD CEng MIFireE MIEI MSFPE (Arup Fire)  
**J Lavender** BSc IEng MIFireE (Chiltern International Fire)  
**T Lennon** BEng BA (Building Research Establishment)  
**G Newman** BSc(Eng) CEng MIStructE MIFireE (The Steel Construction Institute)  
**R Plank** BSc(Eng) PhD CEng MIStructE MICE (Sheffield University)  
**R Pope†** MA MSc DPhil CEng FIStructE FIMechE ACI Arb (Consultant)  
**J Purkiss** BSc(Eng) PhD CEng MIStructE MICE (Aston University/Consultant)

### *Corresponding members*

**A Buchanan** BE(Civil)(Honours) MS (Calif) PhD (University of Canterbury, New Zealand)  
**G Faller††** MSc CEng MIStructE MIFireE (Arup Fire)  
**K Fisher†††** BSc MSc Tech PhD (Consultant)  
**D Hobbs** (formerly of Office of the Deputy Prime Minister)  
**J Y R Liew** BEng MEng PhD PE CEng MIStructE (National University of Singapore)  
**J Milke** BSc MSc PhD PE (University of Maryland, USA)

### *Consultant*

**C G Bailey** BEng PhD CEng FICE MIStructE MIFireE (University of Manchester)

### *Secretary to the Task Group*

**B Chan** BSc(Hons) AMIMechE (Institution of Structural Engineers)

- \* representing the Association of Fire Consultants
- \*\* representing The Concrete Centre
- \*\*\* representing London District Surveyors Association
- † representing British Constructional Steelwork Association
- †† representing the Institution of Fire Engineers
- ††† representing the Brick Development Association

### *Acknowledgements*

The Institution gratefully acknowledges the sponsorship provided for this *Guide* by Arup, British Constructional Steelwork Association, The Concrete Centre, Corus, and FEDRA.

Published by [The Institution of Structural Engineers](#), International HQ, 47–58 Bastwick Street, London EC1V 3PS  
ISBN 978-0-901297-46-4  
© 2007 The Institution of Structural Engineers

Front cover images: See Figures 6.1, 6.3, 6.4 and 6.7 for details.

The Institution of Structural Engineers and the members who served on the Task Group that produced this *Guide* have endeavoured to ensure the accuracy of its contents. However, the guidance and recommendations given should always be reviewed by those using the *Guide* in the light of the facts of their particular case and any specialist advice. No liability for negligence or otherwise in relation to this *Guide* and its contents is accepted by the Institution, the members of the Task Group, their servants or agents. **Any person using this *Guide* should pay particular attention to the provisions of this Condition.**

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior permission of The Institution of Structural Engineers, who may be contacted at International HQ, 47–58 Bastwick Street, London EC1V 3PS.

---

## CONTENTS

---

<b>GLOSSARY</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>FOREWORD</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Status of the <i>Guide</i>	1
<b>2 DESIGN METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Introduction	2
2.2 Overview of design process	2
2.2.1 Determine requirements and objectives	2
2.2.2 Determine acceptable performance criteria	5
2.2.3 Assess basic level of complexity to meet requirements/objectives	6
2.2.4 Carry out qualitative review	7
2.2.5 Assess value and constraints	7
2.2.6 Carry out detailed performance-based structural fire design	7
2.2.7 Validation, verification and review	8
2.2.8 Compare analysis with acceptable criteria	8
2.2.9 Presentation of design for third party checking	8
<b>3 FIRE BEHAVIOUR</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1 Introduction	10
3.2 Localised fire	13
3.2.1 Fire plume models	13
3.2.2 Simplified method given in PD 7974-1	14
3.2.3 Two zone models	14
3.2.4 Computational fluid dynamics	15
3.3 Fully developed fire	15
3.3.1 Standard temperature-time relationships	15
3.3.2 Time equivalence	16
3.3.3 Natural fire curves	17
3.3.4 Zone models	19
3.3.5 Computational fluid dynamics	20
3.3.6 External flame models	20
3.3.7 Use of test data	21
3.3.8 Key parametric studies to determine design fires for structural assessment	21
3.3.9 Automatic suppression	22
<b>4 THERMAL RESPONSE</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1 Introduction	23
4.2 Basic principles of heat transfer	24
4.3 Test data	26
4.4 Simplified calculation models	26
4.4.1 Steel members	26
4.4.2 Concrete members	27
4.4.3 Composite members	27
4.4.4 Masonry members	27
4.5 Advanced analytical methods	28

<b>5</b>	<b>STRUCTURAL BEHAVIOUR</b>	<b>29</b>
5.1	Introduction	29
5.2	Basic principles	31
5.2.1	Thermal expansion and thermal curvature	31
5.2.2	Creep and transient strains	31
5.2.3	Spalling	31
5.3	Simple calculation methods	32
5.3.1	Steel members	32
5.3.2	Composite members	32
5.3.3	Concrete members	32
5.3.4	Timber members	33
5.3.5	Masonry members	33
5.4	Whole building behaviour and the use of finite element models	33
5.4.1	General principles	34
5.4.2	Conceptual model	36
5.4.3	Assessment of failure	36
5.4.4	Sensitivity assessment	36
<b>6</b>	<b>CASE STUDIES</b>	<b>37</b>
6.1	Introduction	37
6.2	Kings Place	37
6.3	Al Shaqab Academy and Equestrian Centre	39
6.4	Heathrow Airport Pier 6	40
6.5	Abbey Mill House	42
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>44</b>
	<b>APPENDIX A AVAILABLE TEST DATA</b>	<b>46</b>

---

## GLOSSARY

---

**CFD:** Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) models are used to solve the fluid movement within a compartment to predict smoke and fire development.

**Emissivity:** Indicates the efficiency of an emitting surface as a radiator, with a range between zero and 1.0. An ideal 'black-body' radiator has an emissivity value of 1.0.

**Fire compartment:** A space within a building enclosed by separating members (e.g. wall, floor) tested to the required fire resistance. The space may extend over one or more storeys.

**Fire load:** The energy released by combustion of materials in a space.

**Flashover:** A relatively rapid transition between the fire which is essentially localised around the items first ignited and the general conflagration when all surfaces within the compartment are burning.

**Fully developed fire:** A fire stage after flashover where all combustibles within the compartment are burning.

**Localised fire:** Fire involving only a limited area of the fire load in the compartment and where flashover has not occurred.

**Natural fire curves:** Temperature-time relationship of fire gases in a compartment determined on the basis of the physical properties of compartment, fire load and ventilation conditions.

**Plume models:** Mathematical model for representing the rising column of fire and smoke of a localised fire.

**Standard fire test curves:** A well-defined fire exposure curve used in standard fire tests for verification of fire resistance.

**Time equivalence:** Defined as the exposure time in a standard fire resistance test which gives the same heating effect on a structure in a given compartment.

**Zone models:** Mathematical model that divides the fire compartment into different control volumes or zones and defines the temperature in each zone based on the conservation of mass and energy.

---

## FOREWORD

---

This *Guide* is at the forefront of the advanced analysis of structures and has come from a compelling need to better predict the performance of real structures in real fires and follows on progressively and logically from the earlier *Guide, Introduction to the fire safety engineering of structures*. It has been designed to help the engineer to deliver a level of finesse and flexibility for problem solving and value that is not available via the traditional prescriptive route embodied in the majority of building regulations.

One of our most important messages concerns the effectiveness of the process, which is essential for controlling the quality for both the designer and approving authority. It supports and borrows from the IStructE report *Guidelines for the use of computers for engineering calculations*, which emphasises the need for clear responsibility and an effective review process. The approach also exemplifies the methodology that would be necessary to logically increase levels of safety to meet business needs and to respond to natural extreme events or other unusual scenarios.

The Task Group has benefited from excellent comments from engineers and academics from around the world. This has greatly enhanced the breadth and the depth of this publication ensuring that the *Guide* has applicability in many countries because it relies on the basics of science and engineering.

I would like to thank all members of the Task Group and its Secretary, Berenice Chan, for their help in producing this *Guide*. In addition, I would like to recognise the significant contribution of Professor Colin Bailey of University of Manchester who drafted the *Guide*, under the direction of the Task Group. This has been a challenging document to develop and Colin's hard work and effective response to the requirements of the Task Group, in a timely manner, is much appreciated.



M Green  
*Task Group Chairman*